

Lead

Box 62090 • King of Prussia, PA 19406-0230 • (610) 768-7800 • fax (610) 962-4991

**Expert Testimony In Lead Litigation:
John F. Rosen, M.D.**

EXCLUSIVE EDITION

Mealey's Coverage 1991 - 2000

port
al
Special Report

MEALEY'S LITIGATION REPORT: LEAD

SPECIAL REPORT: EXPERT TESTIMONY IN LEAD LITIGATION: JOHN F. ROSEN, M.D.

edited by James Cordrey

W. Thomas Hagy, *vice president & general manager*

Scott M. Jacobs, *editorial director*

Matthew Carroll, *production designer*

The Report is published by Mealey Publications, 217 W. Church Road, P.O. Box 62090, King of Prussia Pa, 19406-0230 USA

Telephone: (610) 768-7800 1-800-MEALEYS (1-800-632-5397) Fax: (610) 962-4991 Email: info@mealeys.com

Web site: <http://www.mealeys.com>

© COPYRIGHT 2001 MEALEY PUBLICATIONS ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Introduction

Disagreement over the effects on children of low-level lead exposure has been a running theme of lead litigation for many years and eminent men and women in medicine, science and the law have staked out and defended their positions (and even their *bona fides*) in print and in the courts. The role of these experts and the value attached to their testimony have often been integral to the resolution of cases through verdict or settlement, and the controversy surrounding the testimony only heightened by the challenges associated with the Daubert standard.

Certain experts whose testimony and reputation have shaped the development of the litigation emerge repeatedly in the coverage of lead exposure cases. This four-volume set of special reports brings together coverage of all cases in which those experts played a part, as reported in **Mealey's Litigation Report: Lead**. The focus is on Herbert L. Needleman, M.D., Claire B. Ernhart, Ph.D., Henrietta Sachs, M.D., and John F. Rosen, M.D. A volume of representative expert commentaries from the **Mealey Publications** archive completes the set. Legal documents referenced in the stories remain available from Mealey's document archive.

Mealey's Litigation Report: Lead is now in its 10th year of publication and Mealey's National Lead Litigation Conference has established itself as the nation's premier gathering of litigators and experts in this important field. The material available from the archive of the publications and conference proceedings is of a very high quality and this set of special reports mines that archive to bring together trial and settlement coverage and expert commentary analysis on one of the more contentious topics associated with lead litigation.

Please let us know of developments in your own work and litigation, and feel free to contact us with ideas for future special reports.

James T. Cordrey, editor, *Mealey's Litigation Report: Lead*

Francis X. Mooney, research specialist, compilation editor

Contents Of This Issue

JAMA Publishes Results From Two Studies <i>Researchers conclude decreasing blood-lead levels has beneficial effect on cognition</i>	9
Massachusetts Parties Reach \$145,000 Settlement <i>Lead exposure asserted to have caused child's attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders</i>	10
Liability Ruling Denied Massachusetts Plaintiffs Over Expert Opinions <i>Opinion of defense expert held to have raised 'significant' questions on causation</i>	11
Battery Recycler Settles 300 Claims In Pennsylvania <i>Plaintiffs alleged actual physical harm and increased risk of lead poisoning</i>	12
Mass. Courts Reject Litigation Challenges To Lead Inspections <i>Notification requirements and regulations themselves at issue in a case against state regulators</i>	14
Dispute Over Experts Is Under Advisement 1 Week Before Trial <i>Plaintiff has moved in limine to exclude testimony of defense experts</i>	18
Boston Jury awards \$89,000 As Defense Experts Testify Despite Pretrial Motions <i>'Opinions of these experts . . . appear to be based upon valid scientific principle and methodology'</i>	20
New York Jury Decides In Favor Of Landlord <i>Rosen testifies on loss of IQ points and the child's learning difficulties</i>	22
Lead Pigment Manufacturers Seek Ruling On Market Share Liability In New York Dispute <i>Defendant also moved for summary judgment on theories of alternative and enterprise liability</i>	24
New York Court Finds 'Bodily Injury' Coverage Pre-dated Diagnosis <i>Judge also credits Rosen testimony on 'mechanism of lead absorption'</i>	27
New Jersey Jury Awards Plaintiff \$150,000 <i>Child's language delays and attention deficit attributed to lead exposure</i>	29
Summary Judgment Denied New York Defendants <i>Defendants claim expert ignored confounding variables in affirmation for plaintiff</i>	30
New York Appellate Court Allows Claim For Low-Level 'Injury' <i>Rosen says plaintiff's speech and language problems 'typical sequelae of brain injury as a result of lead ingestion'</i>	32
\$225,000 Settlement Reached Over Lead Exposure At New York City Shelter <i>Plaintiff claimed lead exposure led to attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders</i>	34
\$200,000 Settlement Reached In Philadelphia Dispute <i>Child hospitalized for intravenous chelation after blood-lead level finding of 85 ug/dl</i>	35
New York Trial Halted By \$475,000 Settlement <i>Plaintiffs' experts would have testified to attention deficit and hyperactivity disorders</i>	36

Manhattan Verdict Brings \$500,000 <i>Plaintiff underwent chelation therapy and was hospitalized for 16 days</i>	37
Gould Electronics Makes Second Bid To Bar Rosen Testimony In Pennsylvania Lead Lawsuit <i>Defendant argues Rosen plan 'is inconsistent with and contrary to contemporary scientific principles'</i>	39
Pennsylvania Neighbors Of Gould Electronics Say Medical Monitoring Is Necessary <i>Defendant argues to preclude Rosen testimony under <u>Daubert</u> criteria</i>	41
Rosen Testimony On KXRF Testing Barred In Gould Electronics Suit <i>Medical monitoring claims upheld but requires other testing methods</i>	42
Trial In Suit Against Gould Electronics Moved Pending Possible Settlement <i>Expert testimony using controversial testing method for bone-lead levels was barred</i>	44
Pa. Federal Court Awards 4 Children \$94,000 In Lead Exposure Case <i>Court gives more weight to plaintiffs' expert witnesses</i>	45
Magistrate Judge Recommends Certification Of 3 Subclasses Against Chicago Housing Authority <i>Judge says arguments over Rosen testimony more appropriate at summary judgment or trial</i>	47
Discovery Of Nonparty Sibling's School Records Barred In New York <i>Court rules defense experts' opinions were too general to support disclosure</i>	50
Jury Awards \$500,000 Against New York City Housing Authority <i>Defense had argued XRF readings were inconclusive on the presence of lead</i>	52
Philadelphia Parties Agree On \$275,000 Settlement <i>Plaintiffs argue various neuropsychological deficiencies resulted from lead exposure</i>	53
Insurer Challenges Rulings On 'Occurrence,' Pollution Exclusion <i>Affirmations offered by plaintiffs expert John Rosen among elements at issue</i>	54
New York Claimant, Amici: Pollution Clause Inapplicable <i>Claimant urges court to reject insurer's use of 'smear tactics' to refute Rosen affidavit</i>	57
Generali Argument Put Off Until Fall <i>More briefs filed in dispute over trigger to coverage</i>	59
Structured \$300,000 Settlement As Trial Date Nears <i>Plaintiffs contend failure to abate after Health Department inspection found lead paint</i>	62
Verdict For Defendant In New York Court <i>Treatment for elevated blood lead levels included iron supplements and dietary measures</i>	63
Ruling On Liability Granted Against Landlord In Massachusetts <i>Rosen testifies for plaintiff regarding probable source of lead poisoning and its effects</i>	64
Pennsylvania Parties Reach \$95,000 Settlement Over Record Blood-Lead Level <i>Child was hospitalized for five days of IV chelation therapy after reading of 135 ug/dl</i>	66

New York Bench Trial Brings Defense Verdict <i>Judge rejects challenges to plaintiff and defense expert testimony</i>	67
\$50,000 Settlement Reached By Philadelphia Litigants <i>Plaintiff claimed attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and poor school performance.....</i>	71
Brooklyn Jury Reaches Defense Verdict On Notice Issue <i>Rosen testifies to child's lead poisoning and denies knowledge of 1990 form letter</i>	72
New York City Reaches \$225,000 Settlement <i>Rosen says child's numerous deficits were typical injuries caused by lead poisoning.....</i>	75
Manhattan Jury Awards \$250,000 To One Child; Nothing To Sibling <i>Child said to be suffering impaired cognitive functioning as a result of lead poisoning</i>	76
Boston Settlement Moots Liability Ruling <i>Experts' report played role in moving the parties toward settlement</i>	78
\$312,000 Settlement Agreed To By New York Parties <i>Complaint alleged child sustained cognitive difficulties as a result of lead exposure</i>	79
Mass. Hsg. Judge Finds Lead Hazard Caused Injury, Denies Motion On Quiet Enjoyment <i>Judge says detection of unlawful levels of lead was an undisputed material fact</i>	80
Landlords Knew Of Lead Hazard, Children Living In Unit, Says New York Court <i>Rosen testifies children were lead poisoned from ingesting lead paint while living there</i>	82
Defense Verdict Reached In New York Dispute <i>Child said to suffer from hyperactivity, learning disabilities and behavioral problems</i>	84
Defense Verdict Reached In New York City Suburb <i>Defendants contended they had no actual or constructive notice of lead hazards on property</i>	85
\$695,000 Verdict For Philadelphia Girl <i>Jury finds plaintiff's lead exposure was due to her landlords' negligence.....</i>	86
\$75,000 Structured Settlement Reached By New York Parties <i>Landlord contended child was exposed to lead in other residences where she spent time</i>	88
Jury Finds For Defense On Liability, Injuries <i>Several hours of voir dire prior to testimony of defense expert witnesses.....</i>	89
No Decision On C.P.A. Claims In Two Massachusetts Cases <i>Judge will make own determination on liability and damages under the statute</i>	90
More Excerpts Of Phothisen Transcripts Available <i>Trial included challenges to certain expert witnesses</i>	91
Defense Verdict Stands As Post-Trial Motions Fall <i>Defense offered testimony that no cognitive deficits exist despite child's lead exposure</i>	92

\$225,000 Settlement Split By 2 New York Children <i>Complaint alleged neurological, cognitive and behavioral problems from lead exposure</i>	93
Settlement Ends Trial In Bronx, N.Y. <i>Injuries alleged included brain damage and a drop in IQ of 14 points.....</i>	95
New York Jury Awards \$125,000 For Past And Future Suffering <i>Judge denies motion to set aside verdict and grants 30 days leave to appeal.....</i>	96
Case Settles Before Trial for \$225,000 <i>Child's blood lead levels gradually declined after chelation test and abatement of lead paint</i>	97
Four N.Y. Children Split \$2.6 Million <i>Settlement reached in case that twice before resulted in mistrials</i>	98
\$100,000 Settlement Reached Between Child, City of New York <i>City argued that lead levels in paint were minimal and poor parenting was cause of child's injuries</i>	100
New York Parties Reach \$197,000 Settlement <i>Defendant argues that child's alleged injuries were not due to lead-paint ingestion</i>	101
Summary Judgment Vacated When Hospital Director Contradicts 'Lead' Tests <i>Lab had actually conducted zinc protoporphyrin tests rather than lead-specific tests</i>	102
\$175,000 Settlement Reached In New York <i>Settlement follows liability ruling in favor of the child and four days of trial</i>	104
\$350,000 Verdict Prompts Settlement In New Orleans <i>Defendant had certified Section 8 residence was in compliance with federal regulations</i>	105
City Of New York Hit With \$3.85 Million Award <i>Appeal planned in case involving residence owned by the city</i>	106
Massachusetts Judge Grants Summary Judgment On Consumer Protection Act <i>Judge cites Rosen affidavit in finding strict liability for child's lead-poisoning injuries.....</i>	107
New Trial Sought In New York Following \$2 Million Award <i>Cross-examination of expert John Rosen limited by court</i>	110
New York Plaintiff Wins New Trial On Future Lost Earnings <i>Judge says limited awards might be explained by 'offending' manner of plaintiff expert</i>	112
Retrial Avoided As Parties To New York Case Settle For \$350,000 <i>Complaint alleged brain damage to child, resulting in learning and cognitive deficits.....</i>	113
New York Court Says City Employees' Actions Created 'Special Relationship' <i>Rosen concluded that child's lead poisoning was caused by ingestion of lead paint</i>	115
Neighbors Get Expedited Appeal Over Deleading Of New York City Bridge <i>Rosen supports contention that the city's deleading protocol is deficient</i>	118

City Of Newark Agrees To Rigid Schedule Of Home Lead Inspections <i>Bulk of agreement deals with provisions of the city's lead poison control program</i>	119
Judgment On Liability Denied In New York Case After Juarez Opinion <i>Bone-lead examination indicated medically significant lead level in child's skeleton.....</i>	121
Defense Verdict Reached In Dispute With New York City Housing Authority <i>Defense contended that there was no lead-based paint in child's apartment</i>	122
New York Jury Awards \$6.6 Million In Retrial <i>NYCHA contended child's blood-lead levels could not cause brain injuries claimed</i>	123
Addendum: <i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of John Rosen, M.D.	125

Cases In This Issue

Mass. Hsg.	<u>Aldea, et al. v. Sheila Miller, et al.</u>	
	Decision	14-981106-101
M.D. Pa.	<u>Ambrogi, et al. v. Gould v. American Scrap Co., et al.</u>	
Mass. Hsg.	<u>Ashford v. Murphy</u>	
	Decision	14-961002-102
	Plaintiff and Defense motions on exclusion,	14-970402-301
	with appendices	14-970423-301
	Defense Brief on exclusion	14-970402-105
	Plaintiff Motion Re Dr. Ernhart	14-970423-102
	Plaintiff Motion Re Dr. Sachs	14-970423-103
	Text of Order	14-970423-101
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Bedoya v. 655 West Associates, et al.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Brenner, et al. v. American Cyanamid, et al.</u>	
	Defendant's brief in support of motion	14-981223-104
	Manufacturer's reply brief	14-981223-008
S.D. N.Y.	<u>Campbell, et al. v. Metropolitan Property & Casualty Insurance</u>	
	Opinion	14-000329-102
N.J. Super.	<u>Camey v. Peter and Christina Adones</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Cunningham, et al. v. Leon Spitz, et al.</u>	
	Defendants' motion and excerpt of exhibits	14-940216-107
	Text of affirmation in opposition	14-940216-108
	Text of reply affirmation	14-940216-109
	Decision	14-950823-104
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Cyrill v. City of New York</u>	
Pa. Comm. Pls.	<u>Davis, et al. v. Carl Young</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>DeJesus v. City of New York</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>De La Cruz v. the Aragona Management Group Inc.</u>	
Mass. Hsg.	<u>Diaz v. Amezaga</u>	
	Decision	14-961002-101
M.D. Pa.	<u>Dombrowski, et al. v. Gould Electronics Inc.</u>	
	Brief on motion to exclude	14-980828-103
	Dombrowski opposition and reply	14-981106-102
	Memorandum and order	14-981130-102
	Opinion	14-000428-108
N.D. Ill.	<u>Elliott, et al. v. Chicago Housing Authority</u>	
	Report and recommendation	14-000229-103
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Espinal, et al. v. 570 W. 156th Associates, et al.</u>	
	Decision	14-990115-101
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Estevez v. New York City Housing Authority</u>	
Pa. Comm. Pls.	<u>Foster, et al. v. Carl Kahn</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>General Accident Insurance Co. v. Idbar Realty Corp., et al.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Generali-U.S. Branch v. Caribe Realty Corp., et al.</u>	
	Diaz brief	14-950201-103
Pa. Comm. Pls.	<u>Godfrey v. Felixon</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Gonzalez v. Lok Frank and Park Cheng</u>	
Mass. Hsg.	<u>Harrington v. G. Ernest Horsley Jr.</u>	
	Decision	14-990611-101

Pa. Comm. Pls. E.D. N.Y.	<u>Heckman, et al. v. Wisler</u> <u>Herrera, et al. v. Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., et al.</u> Memorandum	14-000412-103
Pa. Comm. Pls. N.Y. Sup.	<u>Jackson, et al. v. Welker</u> <u>Jean, et al. v. Ahmed Mohammed, et al.</u> Complaint and trial-related documents	14-940601-108
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Lebron v. City of New York, et al.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Leonard v. City of New York</u>	
Mass. Hsg.	<u>Lewis v. Jeffrey Roche</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Luna, et al. v. Luisa Knoll, et al.</u>	
Mass. Hsg.	<u>Martinez v. Michael Katz, et al.</u> Order	14-000816-102
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Nieves, et al. v. 1097 Walton Ave. Co.</u> Decision	14-990528-104
S.D. N.Y.	<u>Olds v. Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Osborne v. Thomas Merjanian</u>	
Pa. Comm. Pls.	<u>Paige v. Shams Inati, et al.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Perez, et al. v. 634 East 11th Street Corp.</u>	
Mass. Hsg.	<u>Phothisen v. Silva Jirousek</u> Transcript of testimony of Dr. Sachs	14-941214-301
	Transcript of testimony of Dr. Ernhart	14-950104-302
	Transcript of testimony of Dr. Rosen	14-950104-301
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Rosalie R., et al. v. Westhelp Inc.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Reid v. Merima Realty</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Reyes, et al. v. Rafael L. Decara</u> Jury verdict sheet	14-970904-101
N.Y. Supreme	<u>Rodriquez v. Jan Jan Realty</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Rodriquez, et al. v. Nyleve Cleaners, et al.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Rose, et al. v. City of New York</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Saldana, et al. v. Leonardo Cannova</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Silva v. The City of New York</u> Decision	14-961002-105
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Singh, et al. v. Frederick Schatz, et al.</u>	
La. Dist.	<u>Smith v. Jake Crifasi and State Farm Insurance Co.</u>	
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Stoves, et al. v. The City of New York</u>	
Mass. Hsg.	<u>Tatum v. Jeanette Simon, executrix, et al.</u> Memorandum of decision	14-960306-101
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Tejeda, et al. v. 116 West Corp., et al.</u> Post-trial motion	14-990514-106
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Valdez v. Sherman Estates Inc., et al.</u> Decision	14-940519-102
E.D. N.Y.	<u>Valencia, et al. v. Sung M. Lee, et al.</u> Memorandum and order	14-990709-107
	Memorandum opposing summary judgment	14-990709-008
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Williamsburg Around the Bridge Block Assn. V. Giuliani</u> Decision	14-951115-102
N.J. Super.	<u>White, et al. v. City of Newark, N.J.</u> Stipulation of settlement	14-960222-102
N.Y. Sup.	<u>Woolfalk v. New York City Housing Authority</u> Order denying judgment on liability	14-960918-101